

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/459,409	12/11/1999	PAUL LAPSTUN	CEOP04-US	1111	
7. KIA SILVER	590 02/19/2003 BROOK	EXAMINER			
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING ST BALMAIN. 2041			TRAN, DOUGLAS Q		
BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/459,409	!	LAPSTUN ET AL.	$\sigma$			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Douglas Q. Tra	n	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute exply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory mi vill apply and will expire , cause the application	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) day: SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		— · iis action is non-l	inal					
3)□	•			responding as to the meri	te ie			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 6 is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4,5 and 7 is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ement.					
	on Papers	_						
· _	The specification is objected to by the Examine			( budha Musadasa				
10)[X]	The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 December 1999</u> is/a			-				
441	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	, ,				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	4)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention: <u>Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97</u> and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Silverbrook (US Patent No. 5,784,077) and Sullivan et al. (US Patent No. 4,739,344).

Art Unit: 2624

As to claim 1, Silverbrook teaches a controller (i.e., a driver module 550 in fig. 7) for controlling printing on both surfaces of a sheet of print media (col. 33, lines 16-17: the simplified module 550 actually includes two of actual modules 550, each module 550 for each side of the sheet), the controller including:

a first print controller (i.e., a controller micro-computer 511, which be included in a first module 550 in fig. 8, would be representative of a first print controller "col. 33, lines 55-57") for controlling printing by a page width printhead of a first print engine (in fig. 8: this print head 50 of this first module 550 that is representative of a page width printhead of the print engine "col. 30, line 66");

a second print controller (the other module 550 in fig. 8 is described as the second module in the printer including a second controller micro-computer 511which would be representative of a second print controller "col. 33, lines 55-57") for controlling printing by a page width printhead of a second print engine (50 in fig. 8: this print head of the second module that is representative of a page width printhead "col. 30, line 66");

(It is noted that the printing module "574 in fig. 6", which is representative of a printer, comprises the driver module "550 in fig. 7". The driver module 550 of the printer, which is representative of a simplified controller, includes two of modules 550. Each module 550, which is representative of a print engine, has a print controller 511 for controlling the print head for printing each side of the sheet "col. 33, lines 16-17". Thus, the function of the combination of two modules for performing the both sides of a sheet);

a second communications link (578 in fig. 6) interconnecting at least one of the print controllers (511 in fig. 8) with a host system (either one of workstation 576 or a raster image

Art Unit: 2624

processor in fig. 6) for receipt from the host system of descriptions of pages (i.e., the page layout in the form of the page description language) to be printed on the surfaces of the sheet of print media by the print engines (the host computer "col. 31, lines 39-42" generates image data and laid-out pages "col. 31, lines 39-44" in form of a page description language "col. 31, lines 65-66" that is sent to a printer 574 "col. 32, lines 61-63". The above information would include the information of pages to be printed on the sides of the sheet of the print media by the print engines because the printer has a function for printing both sides of the sheet "col. 31, lines 15-16 and col. 33, lines 16-17");

However, Silverbrook does not teaches the first print controller for synchronizing with the second print controller through a first communication link in order for the printing of the printhead of the first module is controlled substantially simultaneously with the printing of the printhead of the second module.

Sullivan, in the same field of endeavor, teaches the first print controller (i.e., a master controller 16 in fig. 1) and the second print controller (i.e., a slave controller 18 in fig. 1) are synchronized (col. 7, lines 59-66) through a first communications link (please see two arrows with the information of "RCLK" and "SENSOR INFO." in figure 1 which would be considered as a communications link), so that the printhead (14 in fig. 2a) of the second print engine (fig. 2a) is controlled substantially simultaneously with the printing by the printhead (12 in fig. 2) of the first print engine (fig. 2) (col. 2, line 62 to col. 3, line 1).

(It is noted that Sullivan teaches a master controller "16 in fig. 1" for controlling printing by a printhead of a first print engine "12 in fig. 1"; col. 4, lines 61-62: the master controller for controlling the energization of the printhead "12 in fig. 1" in the first print engine "fig. 2"; the

Art Unit: 2624

term of "the energization" would be considered as the printing operation. Col. 4, lines 63-64 describes that a slave controller "18 in fig. 1" for controlling the energization of the printhead "14 in fig. 1" in a second print engine "fig. 2a" substantially simultaneously with the printing by the printhead of the first print engine "col. 2, line 62 to col. 3, line 1" because the energization of the first print head is synchronized with the energization of the second print head "col. 3, lines 8-15")

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the first print controller (i.e., the first control Microcomputer 511 of the first module 550) in Silverbrook would be synchronized with the second print controller via the first communications link whereby the printing of the first printhead is simultaneaously controlled with the second printhead as taught by Sullivan. The suggestion for modifying the print controllers of Silverbrook can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth above by Sullivan because the modified printing system of Silverbrook would be desirable to provide an improvement of the first print controller that has increased functionalities for directly communicating and synchronizing with the second print controller through a communication link so that the printhead of the second print engine is controlled substantially simultaneously with the printing by the printhead of the first print engine (fig. 2, col. 2, line 62 to col. 3, line 1 as taught by Sullivan). Therefore, the performing of both of the printheads in the print engines is more efficiency and faster in parallel relation each other when they are directly controlled by one of the print-engine controller.

As to claim 2, Silverbrook and Sullivan disclose every feature discussed in claim 1, and Sullivan further teaches that the first print controller is a master print controller (16 in fig. 1) and

Art Unit: 2624

the second print controller is a slave print controller (18 in fig. 1) operable under command of the master print controller on receipt of signals via the first communications link (col. 3, lines 8-15) (It is noted that, col. 6, lines 11-16, the clock generator 32 in the master controller 16 in figure 2 generates the RCLK signals to actuate the slave controller 18 for energizing the print head 14. The slave controller receives the above signals and sensor information signals from the master controller for controlling the second print head 14 "col. 7, lines 59-66).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the second controller of Silverbrook in order to be operable under command of the first controller via the communication link as taught by Sullivan. The suggestion for modifying the second controller of Silverbrook can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth above by Sullivan because the modified printing system of Silverbrook would be desirable to provide an improvement of the second print controller that has increased functionalities for directly communicating and being synchronized by the first print controller through a communication link. Therefore, the printhead of the second print engine is controlled substantially simultaneously with the printing by the printhead of the first print engine (fig. 2; col. 2, line 62 to col. 3, line 1 as taught by Sullivan); and the performing of both of the printheads in the print engines is more efficiency and faster in parallel relation each other when the slave controller is directly controlled by the master controller.

As to claim 6, Silverbrook and Sullivan disclose every feature discussed in claims 1 and 2, and Sullivan further teaches that print synchronization is achieved by the master print controller controller a printing operation of the slave print controller (col. 7, lines 59-66: the slave controller receives both RCLK signals and sensor signals from the master controller 16,

Application/Control Number: 09/459,409 Page 7

Art Unit: 2624

and it is operative for controlling the slave strobe circuit to energize the second print head in response to the RCLK signals from the master controller so that the energization of the second print head is synchronized with the energization of the first print head).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the master print controller in Silverbrook and Sullivan for controlling the printing operation in synchronization with a printing operation of the slave print controller as taught by Sullivan. The suggestion for modifying the master print controller of Silverbrook and Sullivan can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth above by Sullivan because the modified printing system of Silverbrook and Sullivan would be desirable to provide an improvement of the first print controller that has increased functionalities for directly controlling and synchronizing with the slave print controller so that the printhead of the second print engine is controlled substantially simultaneously with the printing by the printhead of the first print engine (fig. 2; col. 2, line 62 to col. 3, line 1 as taught by Sullivan). Therefore, the performing of both of the printheads in the print engines is more efficiency and faster in parallel relation each other when they are directly controlled by the master controller.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Silverbrook and Sullivan as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Ikenoue et al. (US Patent No. 5,251,295).

As to claim 3, Silverbrook and Sullivan disclose every feature discussed in claims 1 and 2. Sullivan further teaches the first communication link enabling the transmission of data (please

Art Unit: 2624

see the signal "RCLK" is transmitted through a communication link) from the master print controller to the slave print controller (col. 7, lines 59-64).

However, Sullivan does not teach the communication link is a bi-directional link enabling the transmission of data from the slave print controller to the master print controller.

Ikenoue, in the same field of endeavor, teaches the communication link (a coupling means 104 in fig. 1B) is a bi-directional link (col. 9, lines 46-49) enabling the transmission of data from the slave controller (i.e., slave data processor 100 in fig. 1B) to the master controller (i.e., master data processor 102 in fig. 1B) (It is noted that the slave data processor 100 transfers the detailed information and the brief information through the bi-directional coupling means to the master data processor 102 "col. 9, lines 58-65")

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the communication link of the combination of Silverbrook and Sullivan to be a bi-directional communication link for enabling the transmission of data from the slave controller to the master controller as taught by Ikenoue. The suggestion for modifying the communication link of the combination of Silverbrook and Sullivan can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth above by Ikenoue because the modified printing system of the Silverbrook and Sullivan would be desirable to provide the improvement of the communication link for exchanging data between the controllers in bi-directional communication. Therefore, the master controller would be enable to keep track the status of the second print engine by providing from the slave controller and easily control the slave controller by transmitting the command data.

Art Unit: 2624

### Allowable Subject Matter

Page 9

6. Claims 4, 5, and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claims 1 and 2, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for objecting:

As to claim 4, the prior art, taken either singly or in combination, does not teach: the master print controller of the first print engine to present a unified view to the host system to mask the presence of the slave print controller of the second print engine through the second communication link.

As to claim 5, the prior art, taken either singly or in combination, does not teach: the master print controller prints describes pages on a rear surface of the print media with the slave print controller printing on a front surface of the print media so that the master print controller always has a page buffer available for a page description destined for the slave print controller.

As to claim 7, the prior art, taken either singly or in combination, does not teach: both of the master print controllers of the first print engine and the slave print controller of the second print engine have printhead interfaces which are synchronized to a synchronization signal through a shared line generated by one of the print controller.

7. Claims 8-13 are allowed. And claim 8 is an independent claim.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As to claim 8, the present invention comprising a method of controlling printing on both surfaces of a sheet of print media by the first and second print controllers. The closest prior art of

Page 10

Art Unit: 2624

Silverbrook (US Patent No. 5,784,077) discloses the simplified module includes two of actual modules, each module independently for printing each side of the sheet; and Sullivan et al. (US Patent No. 4,739,344) teaches two controllers, each for controlling each printhead in the synchronization. However, both of the closest prior art, including an electronic text search, would not teach steps of "receiving data relating to a first page to be printed in a first print controller of a first print engine; transmitting the data relating to the first page from the first print controller to a second print controller of a second print engine; receiving data relating to a second page to be printed in the first print controller; and controlling printing by the print engines under command of the first print controller to achieve synchronization of printing of the pages by the first print controller and the second print controller on rear and front surfaces of the print media, respectively". These distinct features render independent claim allowable.

#### Examiner's Remarks

Suzuki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,874,264) discloses a hybrid printer includes at least one impact type print head and at least one non-Impact type print head, which are selectively used to print.

Abe (U.S. Patent No. 6,267,518) discloses a first printing unit for forming a front image on one surface and a second printing unit forming a back image on the other surface are respectively constructed with two stages of printing heads.

Asai et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,350,005 B1) discloses an apparatus includes a passage along which a recording medium with magnetic information recorded thereon can travel and an information read head disposed along the passage for reading the magnetic information.

Art Unit: 2624

### **Contact Information**

Page 11

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas Q. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4857 or E-mail address is Douglas.tran@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Douglas Q. Tran

Feb. 09, 2003